1 2 3 4	Frederick H. Kranz (State Bar No. 055815) Alicia N. Vaz (State Bar No. 215081) COX, CASTLE & NICHOLSON LLP 2049 Century Park East, 28th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067-3284 Telephone: (310) 284-2200 Facsimile: (310) 284-2100 Email: fkranz@coxcastle.com; avaz@coxcastle.com;	astle.com
5	Attorneys for Defendants	
7	Tarsadia Hotels; Tushar Patel; B.U. Patel; G Casserly; 5th Rock, LLC; MKP One, LLC; G Gaslamp Holdings, LLC	and
8	UNITED STATES 1	DISTRICT COURT
9	SOUTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	DEAN BEAVER and LAURIE BEAVER, et al.,	Case No. 11-CV-1842-DMS-CAB (related with Case No. 09-CV-2739-
12	Plaintiffs,	DMS-CAB)
13	VS.	DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THE
14	TARSADIA HOTELS, et al.,	THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR:
15	Defendants.	(1) VIOLATION OF THE
16		INTERSTATE LAND SALES FULL DISCLOSURE ACT;
17 18		(2) VIOLATION OF THE SUBDIVIDED LANDS ACT;
19		(3) FRAUD;
20		(4) NEGLIGENCE; AND
21		(5) UNFAIR COMPETITION
22		
23		[DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL]
24		
25	Defendants TARSADIA HOTE	ELS; TUSHAR PATEL; B.U. PATEL;
26	GREGORY CASSERLY; 5TH ROCK, LLC	C; MKP ONE, LLC; AND GASLAMP
27	HOLDINGS, LLC ("Defendants") answer th	ne Third Amended Class-Action Complaint
28		

("TAC") of Plaintiffs DEAN BEAVER and LAURIE BEAVER, et al. ("Plaintiffs") as follows:

- 1. Defendants admit the allegation in Paragraph 1 that this lawsuit involves the purchase and sale of condominium-hotel unties at the Hard Rock Hotel in San Diego. Defendants deny that they failed to disclose or intentionally concealed that the buyers had a right to rescind their purchase contracts. The other allegations in Paragraph 1 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.
- 2. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph 2 that 5th Rock, LLC is the seller identified in the purchase contracts, MPK One, LLC is the managing member of 5th Rock, LLC and that Tushar Patel is the Chairman of Tarsadia Hotels, B.U. Patel is the Vice-Chairman and Founder of Tarsadia Hotels and Gregory Casserly is the President of Tarsadia Hotels. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 2, and on that basis deny each and every other allegations in Paragraph 2.
- 3. Defendants admit the allegation in Paragraph 3 that Plaintiffs executed Purchase Contracts and Escrow Instructions for the purchase of one or more units at the Hard Rock. Defendants further admit that most closed escrow on their units in the summer or fall of 2007. Defendants state that the Contracts that appear to be signed by plaintiffs Dean and Laurie Beaver and Steven Adelman and Abram Aghachi, which are in writing, speak for themselves and are the best evidence of their terms, provisions and contents. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations in Paragraph 3, and on that basis deny each and every other allegation in Paragraph 3.
- 4. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegation pertaining to why this lawsuit arose, and on that basis deny the first sentence of Paragraph 4. With respect to all other allegations contained in Paragraph 4, Defendants deny each of those allegations.

LAW OFFICES OF

COX, CASTLE &

NICHOLSON LLP

LOS ANGELES, CA

- 5. The allegations in Paragraph 5 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.
- 6. The allegations in Paragraph 6 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.
- 7. The allegations in Paragraph 7 and/or argument are legal conclusions to which no response is required.
- 8. The allegations in Paragraph 8 and/or argument are legal conclusions to which no response is required.
- 9. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in Paragraph9.
- 10. The allegations contained in the first two sentences and the last sentence of Paragraph 10 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. With respect to all other allegations contained in Paragraph 10, Defendants deny each of those allegations.
- 11. Defendants admit that Plaintiffs and the Class members received the Final Subdivision Public Report, File No. 120249LA-F00 issued by the DRE on April 4, 2006, and that the Public Report is attached to the TAC as Exhibit C. With respect to all other allegations contained in Paragraph 11, Defendants deny each of those allegations.
- 12. The allegations in Paragraph 12 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 12 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 12 and, on that basis, deny each and every allegation in Paragraph 12.
- 13. The allegations in Paragraph 13 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 13 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief

sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 13 and, on that basis, deny each and every allegation in Paragraph 13.

- 14. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in Paragraph
- 15. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 15 and, on that basis, deny each and every allegation in Paragraph 15.
- 16. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 16 and, on that basis, deny each and every allegation in Paragraph 16.
- 17. The allegations in Paragraph 17 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.

PLAINTIFFS

- 18. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 18 and, on that basis, deny each and every allegation in Paragraph 18.
- 19. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 19 and, on that basis, deny each and every allegation in Paragraph 19.
- 20. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 20 and, on that basis, deny each and every allegation in Paragraph 20.
- 21. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 21 and, on that basis, deny each and every allegation in Paragraph 21.
- 22. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 22 and, on that basis, deny each and every allegation in Paragraph 22.

24

25

26

27

28

2

3

45

67

8

- 9
- 10
- 11 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17 18
- 19
- 2021
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 2526
- 27

28

DEFENDANTS

- 23. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph 23 that Tarsadia Hotels is a California corporation with its headquarters in Newport Beach, California. With respect to all other allegations contained in Paragraph 23, Defendants deny each of those allegations.
- 24. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph 24 that 5th Rock LLC is a Delaware limited liability company and the seller of the condominium-hotel units in the Hard Rock Hotel project in San Diego and that it is headquartered in Newport Beach, California. With respect to all other allegations contained in Paragraph 24, Defendants deny each of those allegations.
 - 25. Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 25.
- 26. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph 26 that Gaslamp Holdings, LLC is a California limited liability company and is headquartered in Newport Beach, California. With respect to all other allegations contained in Paragraph 26, Defendants deny each of those allegations.
 - 27. Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 27.
 - 28. Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 28.
 - 29. Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 29.
- 30. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 30 and, on that basis, deny each and every allegation in Paragraph 30.
- 31. The allegations in Paragraph 31 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 31 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 32. The allegations in Paragraph 32 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 32 contains any

1	factual allegations that require a response, Defendants deny each and every such
2	allegation.
3	33. The allegations in Paragraph 33 are legal conclusions and/or
4	argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 33 contains any
5	factual allegations that require a response, Defendants deny each and every such
6	allegation.
7	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
8	34. Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 34.
9	COMMON ALLEGATIONS
10	35. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph 35 that in or about
11	2005, 5th Rock began to develop a condominium hotel commonly referred to as the
12	Hard Rock Hotel, San Diego, located at 205 Fifth Avenue in the City of San Diego,
13	California. Defendants also admit that the project was to consist of 420 units.
14	Defendants further admit that the units were marketed through the Internet, marketing
15	materials, brochures, verbal statements utilizing the mail and telephone. With respect
16	to all other allegations contained in Paragraph 35, Defendants deny those allegations.
17	A. Sales of subdivided parcels of land are governed by state and federal law.
18	36. The allegations in Paragraph 36 are legal conclusions and/or
19	argument to which no response is required.
20	37. The allegations in Paragraph 37 are legal conclusions and/or
21	argument to which no response is required.
22	38. The allegations in Paragraph 38 are legal conclusions and/or
23	argument to which no response is required.
24	39. The allegations in Paragraph 39 are legal conclusions and/or
25	argument to which no response is required.
26	
27	
28	

063353\5060000v1

B. California developers register projects with HUD using a DRE Public Report.

- 40. The allegations in Paragraph 40 are legal conclusions and/or argument to argument which no response is required.
- 41. The allegations in Paragraph 41 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.
- 42. The allegations in Paragraph 42 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.
- 43. The allegations in Paragraph 43 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.

C. Defendants chose not to comply with ILSA in developing the Hard Rock to make the terms of the resulting Contract favor the Developer Defendants.

- 44. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph 44 that they hired the law firm of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for various tasks, including handling the application for public reports to be obtained from the Department of Real Estate. The contents of Greenberg's website speak for themselves. With respect to all other allegations contained in Paragraph 44, Defendants deny each of those allegations.
- 45. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph 45 that Greenberg submitted a public report to the DRE. With respect to all other allegations contained in Paragraph 45, Defendants deny each of those allegations.
- 46. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph 46 that Greenberg submitted a public report to the DRE. The contents of the report speak for themselves. With respect to all other allegations contained in Paragraph 46, Defendants deny each of those allegations.
- 47. The allegations in Paragraph 47 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.
- 48. The allegations in Paragraph 48 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.

27

49. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 49 and, on that basis, deny each and every allegation in Paragraph 49.

- D. Plaintiffs and Class members had an absolute right under ILSA to rescind their Contracts for two years from the date of signing.
- 50. The allegations in Paragraph 50 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.
- 51. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph 51 that Greenberg did not file a public report with HUD. The contents of the report speak for themselves. With respect to all other allegations contained in Paragraph 51, Defendants deny each of those allegations.
- 52. Defendants admit the allegation in Paragraph 52 that the Contract includes the following language: "BUYER MAY CANCEL BUYER'S OFFER TO PURCHASE THE UNIT AND THE CONTRACT RESULTING FROM SELLER'S ACCEPTANCE OF BUYER'S OFFER, AND RECEIVE A FULL REFUND OF BUYER'S INITIAL DEPOSIT UNTIL MIDNIGHT OF THE THIRD (3RD) CALENDAR DAY AFTER THE DAY ON WHICH THE BUYER SIGNS THIS CONTRACT, BY NOTIFYING SELLER IN THE MANNER PROVIDED IN THIS CONTRACT." With respect to all other allegations contained in Paragraph 52, Defendants deny those allegations.
- 53. The allegations in Paragraph 53 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.
- 54. The allegations in Paragraph 54 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.
- 55. The allegations in Paragraph 55 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.
- 56. The allegations in Paragraph 56 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 56 contains any

factual allegations that require a response	Defendants de	eny each ar	nd every	such
allegation.				

- 57. The allegations in Paragraph 57 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 57 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- E. The Public Report fails to disclose to Plaintiffs and Class members their two-year right to rescind in violation of ILSA and the SLA and Defendants had a continuing obligation to disclose this two-year rescission right.
- 58. The allegations in Paragraph 58 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.
- 59. The allegations in Paragraph 59 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.
- 60. The allegations in Paragraph 60 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.
- 61. Defendants admit that the Public Report is attached as Exhibit C to the TAC. With respect to all other allegations contained in Paragraph 61, Defendants deny those allegations.
- 62. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 62 and, on that basis, deny each and every allegation in Paragraph 62.
- 63. The allegations in Paragraph 63 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.
- 64. The allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 64 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. Defendants admit that the Public Report states that "[s]ome material changes may require amendment of the Public Report; which Amendment must be obtained and used in lieu of this report."
- 65. The allegations in Paragraph 65 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 65 contains any

25

26

27

6

5

8

9

7

10 11

12

13

1415

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

26

27

28

factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 65 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.

- 66. The allegations in Paragraph 66 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 66 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 66 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- 67. The allegations in Paragraph 67 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 67 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 67 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- F. Plaintiffs' and Class members' Contracts uniformly failed to disclose the two-year right to rescind despite and obligation under the HUD regulations implementing ILSA to do so.
- 68. The allegations in Paragraph 68 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.
- includes the following language: "BUYER MAY CANCEL BUYER'S OFFER TO PURCHASE THE UNIT AND THE CONTRACT RESULTING FROM SELLER'S

Defendants admit the allegation in Paragraph 69 that the Contract

- ACCEPTANCE OF BUYER'S OFFER, AND RECEIVE A FULL REFUND OF
- BUYER'S INITIAL DEPOSIT UNTIL MIDNIGHT OF THE THIRD (3RD)
- CALENDAR DAY AFTER THE DAY ON WHICH THE BUYER SIGNS THIS
- CONTRACT, BY NOTIFYING SELLER IN THE MANNER PROVIDED IN THIS
- 25 CONTRACT." With respect to all other allegations contained in Paragraph 69,
 - Defendants deny those allegations.

69.

70. The allegation in Paragraph 70 that there is a legal obligation under the HUD regulations implementing ILSA is a legal conclusions and/or argument to

which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 70 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 70 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.

G. The statutory two-year right to rescind was a "material" fact that had to be disclosed.

71. The allegations in Paragraph 71 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 71 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 71 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.

H. The Hard Rock is not exempt from complying with ILSA or the SLA.

- 72. The allegations in Paragraph 72 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 72 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 72 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- 73. The allegations in Paragraph 73 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 73 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 73 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.

I. Defendants took affirmative steps to conceal from Plaintiffs and Class members their statutory two-year right to rescind the Contracts.

- 74. The allegations in Paragraph 74 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 74 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants admit that they shared office space, officers and directors but deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 74.
- 75. Defendants admit that a contract was used in connection with sales and the Hard Rock and that a Public Report was obtained from the DRE. With respect

26

27

to all other allegations contained in Paragraph 75, Defendants deny each of those allegations.

- 76. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 76 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- 77. The allegations in Paragraph 77 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.
- 78. The allegations in Paragraph 78 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.
- 79. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 79 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- 80. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph 80 that some would-be buyers selected the units they intended to purchase at the Hard Rock on or about May 18, 2006. With respect to all other allegations contained in Paragraph 80, Defendants deny each of those allegations.
- 81. Defendants admit that Plaintiffs and Class members received the Public report and entered into their respective contracts. With respect to all other allegations contained in Paragraph 81, Defendants deny each of those allegations.
- 82. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 82 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- 83. Defendants deny the allegation in Paragraph 83 that they purposefully concealed a rescission right from Plaintiffs and the Class members.

 Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations in Paragraph 83 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- 84. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 84 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- 85. Defendants admit that Plaintiffs and Class members closed escrow on their units at the Hard Rock. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to

24

25

26

27

28

answer the remaining allegations in Paragraph 85 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.

- 86. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 86 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
 - Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 87. 87.
- The allegations in Paragraph 88 are legal conclusions and/or 88. argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 88 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants deny that they knowingly or willfully devised and carried out a common plan, scheme or artifice to defraud members of the Class or intentionally misrepresented the rescission right. Defendants further deny that they purposefully concealed information from Plaintiffs or the Class members
- Even with the exercise of reasonable diligence, Plaintiffs were unable to discovery their rescission rights before April 2011 and Class members cannot be held to a higher standard. J.
- Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the 89. allegations in Paragraph 89 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the 90. allegations in Paragraph 90 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- 91. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 91 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- The allegations in Paragraph 92 are legal conclusions and/or 92. argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 92 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 92 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.

26

27

28

K. Plaintiffs and Class members have suffered damages as a result of Defendants' wrongful conduct.

- 93. The allegations in Paragraph 93 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 93 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 93 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- 94. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 94 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- 95. The allegations in Paragraph 95 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 95 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 95 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.

L. Seller and its real estate broker owed Plaintiffs and Class members a duty to disclose.

96. The allegations in Paragraph 96 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 96 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 96 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 97. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 97 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- 98. The allegations in Paragraph 98 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 98 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 98 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

2

3

	99.	The allegations in Paragraph 99 are legal conclusions and/or
argument t	o which	n no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 99 contains any
factual alle	gations	that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief
sufficient t	o answe	er the allegations in Paragraph 99 and, on that basis, deny those
allegations		

- 100. The allegations in Paragraph 100 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 100 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 100 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 101 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 101 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- 102. The allegations in Paragraph 102 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 102 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 102 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of ILSA, 15 U.S.C. sec 1703(a)(2)(A), (B) and (C) Against All Defendants)

- 103. Defendants incorporate by reference and reassert their responses to Paragraphs 1 through 102 as if fully set forth herein.
- 104. The allegations in Paragraph 104 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.

105. The allegations in Paragraph 105 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 105 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 105 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.

- argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 106 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 106 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
 - 107. Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 107.
- argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 108 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants admit that the Contract includes a three day right to rescind but lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations in Paragraph 108 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
 - 109. Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 109.
- 110. The allegations in Paragraph 110 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 110 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 110.
- 111. The allegations in Paragraph 111 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 111 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 111.
- 112. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 112 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.

15	
16	
17	
18	Ì
19	

2	1

23

20

24

25

26

27 28 113. Defendants deny that they purposefully concealed the rescission rights, but lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations in Paragraph 113 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.

- 114. The allegations contained in the last sentence of Paragraph 114 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. Defendants deny any purposeful deception in withholding information from Plaintiffs or the Class members, but lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining factual allegations in Paragraph 114 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 115 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations in Paragraph 115 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- 116. The allegations in Paragraph 116 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 116 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 116 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation under SLA, Bus. & Prof. Code 11000, et seq., Against All Defendants)

117. The Second Cause of Action has been dismissed with prejudice and, therefore, no response is required to Paragraphs 117-122 of the TAC.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Fraud Against All Defendants)

- 118. Defendants incorporate by reference and reassert their responses to Paragraphs 1 through 122 as if fully set forth herein.
- 119. The allegation about Defendants' "affirmative legal duty to disclose this right under ILSA and the SLA" is a legal conclusion and/or argument to which no

response is required. Defendants deny the remainder of the allegations contained in Paragraph 124.

- 120. Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 125.
- 121. The allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 126 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. With respect to the remaining allegations in Paragraph 126, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations in Paragraph 126 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- 122. The allegations in Paragraph 127 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 127 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations in Paragraph 127 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- 123. The allegations in Paragraph 128 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 128 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants deny those allegations.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence Against All Defendants)

- 124. Defendants incorporate by reference and reassert their responses to Paragraphs 1 through 128 as if fully set forth herein.
- 125. The allegations in Paragraph 130 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.
- 126. The allegations in Paragraph 131 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 131 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants deny those allegations.
- 127. The allegations in Paragraph 132 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 132 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief

24

25

26

27

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	
	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

sufficient to answer the remaining allegations in Paragraph 132 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.

- 128. The allegations in Paragraph 133 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 133 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations in Paragraph 133 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- 129. The allegations in Paragraph 134 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of UCL, Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq., Against All Defendants)

- 130. Defendants incorporate by reference and reassert their responses to Paragraphs 1 through 134 as if fully set forth herein.
- 131. The allegations in Paragraph 136 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required.
- 132. The allegations in Paragraph 137 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 137 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants deny those allegations.
- 133. The allegations in Paragraph 138 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 138 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations in Paragraph 138 and, on that basis, deny those allegations.
- 134. The allegations in Paragraph 139 are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 139 contains any factual allegations that require a response, Defendants deny those allegations.

27

28

1	135. The allegations in Paragraph 140 are legal conclusions and/or
2	argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 140 contains any
3	factual allegations that require a response, Defendants deny those allegations.
4	136. The allegations in Paragraph 141 are legal conclusions and/or
5	argument to which no response is required. To the extent, Paragraph 141 contains any
6	factual allegations that require a response, Defendants deny those allegations.
7	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
8	First Affirmative Defense
9	(Failure to State a Cause of Action)
10	137. The TAC and each of its causes of action fail to state facts
11	sufficient to state a cause of action against Defendants, including based on the failure to
12	adequately demonstrate justifiable reliance.
13	Second Affirmative Defense
14	(Laches)
15	138. The TAC and each of its causes of action are barred by the doctrine
16	of laches.
17	Third Affirmative Defense
18	(Estoppel)
19	139. Plaintiffs, by their conduct, are estopped to assert or enforce all or
20	any part of the claims in the TAC.
21	
22	Fourth Affirmative Defense
23	(Unclean Hands)
24	140. Any claims by Plaintiffs are barred by the fact that Plaintiffs have
25	"unclean hands."
26	
27	
28	

1	Fifth Affirmative Defense
2	(Waiver)
3	141. Plaintiffs, by their conduct and agreement, have waived their right
4	to assert or enforce all or any part of the claims in the TAC.
5	Sixth Affirmative Defense
6	(Acquiescence)
7	142. Plaintiffs, by their conduct, have acquiesced in the acts and
8	omissions alleged in the TAC and, thus, Plaintiffs are precluded from asserting or
9	enforcing any claim in the TAC.
10	Seventh Affirmative Defense
11	(Consent)
12	143. Plaintiffs are barred from any recovery against Defendants because
13	Plaintiffs and/or their agents directed and consented to the matters of which they
14	complain.
15	Eighth Affirmative Defense
16	(Contractual Provisions)
17	144. The TAC and each of its causes of action are barred by governing
18	contractual provisions.
19	Ninth Affirmative Defense
20	(No Damages)
21	145. Plaintiffs have not suffered or sustained any damages as a
22	consequence of any conduct of the Defendants.
23	Tenth Affirmative Defense
24	(Conformed To Statute, Regulations And Industry Standards)
25	146. All conduct and activities of Defendants alleged in the TAC
26	conformed to statutes, government regulations and industry standards based on the state
27	of knowledge existing at the times alleged in the TAC, including the Interstate Sales
28	Act, the Subdivided Lands Act and the Unfair Competition Law.

1	Eleventh Affirmative Defense	
2	(Exemptions Under the ISLA)	
3	147. The TAC and each of its causes of action are barred in whole or in	
4	part because there are exemptions from the ISLA that apply to the sales of the	
5	condominium units.	
6	Twelfth Affirmative Defense	
7	(Statute of Limitations)	
8	148. The TAC and each of its causes of action are barred in whole or in	
9	part by the applicable statute(s) of limitations, including 15 U.S.C. § 1711(a)(2), Code	
10	of Civ. Proc. § 338(d), Bus. & Prof. Code § 11021 & Bus. & Prof. Code § 17208.	
11	Thirteenth Affirmative Defense	
12	(Good Faith/Advice of Counsel)	
13	149. The claims of Plaintiffs in the TAC are barred because Defendants	
14	acted in good faith and upon the advice of counsel in doing the acts and omissions	
15	alleged in the TAC.	
16	Fourteenth Affirmative Defense	
17	(Adequate Remedy At Law)	
18	150. Plaintiffs' claims as alleged in the TAC are barred because, to the	
19	extent Plaintiffs are entitled a recovery against Defendants, if any, Plaintiffs have an	
20	adequate remedy at law.	
21	Fifteenth Affirmative Defense	
22	(Improper Class Action)	
23	151. Plaintiffs' TAC and the causes of action asserted therein cannot be	
24	maintained as a class action because: (i) the purported class is not so numerous that	
25	joinder of all members individually is impracticable; (ii) there is no question of law or	
26	fact common to the purported class; (iii) the claims and defenses of the class	
27	representatives. Plaintiffs, are not typical of the alleged claims or defenses of the	

063353\5060000v1

1	purported class; and (iv) Plaintiffs are not fairly and adequately able to protect the
2	interests of all members of the class.
3	Sixteenth Affirmative Defense
4	(Reservation)
5	152. Defendants presently have insufficient knowledge or information
6	on which to form a belief as to whether they may have additional, as yet, unstated
7	affirmative defenses available. Defendants reserve the right to assert additional
8	defenses in the event that discovery indicates they would be appropriate.
9	JURY DEMAND
10	153. Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,
11	Defendants demand that trial be by jury on all claims and issues so triable in the TAC.
12	WHEREFORE, Defendants pray for judgment as follows:
13	1. That Plaintiffs' TAC be dismissed with prejudice;
14	2. That Plaintiffs take nothing as against the Defendants by reason of
15	the TAC or any cause of action stated therein;
16	3. That Defendants be awarded their costs and disbursements in this
17	action, including reasonable attorneys' fees as permitted by law; and
18	4. That Defendants be awarded such other and further relief as this
19	Court deems just and proper.
20	
21	DATED: June 14, 2013 COX, CASTLE & NICHOLSON LLP
22	
23	By: /s/ Frederick H. Kranz
24	Frederick H. Kranz Attorneys for Defendants Tarsadia Hotels;
25	Attorneys for Defendants Tarsadia Hotels; Tushar Patel; B.U. Patel; Gregory Casserly; 5th Rock, LLC, MKP One, LLC, and Gaslamp Holdings, LLC
26	Gaslamp Holdings, LLC
27	
28	